

Repositório ISCTE-IUL

Deposited in *Repositório ISCTE-IUL*:

2019-04-02

Deposited version:

Post-print

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Ferreira, M. A. M., Filipe, J. A. & Coelho, M. (2017). Chaos and complexity in the fisheries management context. *Acta Scientiae et Intellectus*. 3 (1), 64-76

Further information on publisher's website:

<http://www.actaint.com/>

Publisher's copyright statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Ferreira, M. A. M., Filipe, J. A. & Coelho, M. (2017). Chaos and complexity in the fisheries management context. *Acta Scientiae et Intellectus*. 3 (1), 64-76. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with the Publisher's Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

Use policy

Creative Commons CC BY 4.0

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in the Repository
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Chaos and Complexity in the Fisheries Management Context

Manuel Alberto M. Ferreira

Instituto Universitário de Lisboa (ISCTE-IUL), Business Research Unit (BRU-IUL) and
Information Sciences, Technologies and Architecture Research Center (ISTAR-IUL),
PORTUGAL

José António Filipe

Instituto Universitário de Lisboa (ISCTE-IUL), Business Research Unit (BRU-IUL) and
Information Sciences, Technologies and Architecture Research Center (ISTAR-IUL),
PORTUGAL

Manuel Coelho

ISEG-Lisbon School of Economics and Management/Universidade de Lisboa, CIRIUS and
SOCIUS,

PORTUGAL

E-mails : manuel.ferreira@iscte.pt

jose.filipe@iscte.pt

coelho@iseg.ulisboa.pt

ABSTRACT

Chaos Theory and nonlinear dynamic systems' models huge importance is recognized beyond any kind of considerations in the most varied research fields. Chaos Theory contributes very significantly to the study of marine systems and fish stocks' preservation. In this paper are presented clues to manage fisheries in view of chaos contextualization. Particularly, when applied in the situation of ecological systems, especially in the context of fisheries, highlights its recognition in the explanation of fishing phenomena.

Keywords: *Chaos Theory, dynamical systems, complex adaptive systems, fisheries, “butterfly effect”, “drop of honey effect”.*

INTRODUCTION

A general overview on chaos problem and Chaos Theory and some particular reflections about the fisheries status in this context are given first. Then it is shown the role of dynamic systems theories for the consequent analysis of ecological problems. Finally the fisheries case is studied, highlighting the Chaos Theory importance to the fisheries policies analysis, introducing new factors and complementing the usual views of fisheries management based on Clark and Munro documents and later on game theory frameworks.

A PROBLEM OF CHAOS AND COMPLEXITY

Chaos is a developing research area, highly fashionable. Much of the progress in this area was revealed just since the 1970s. This means that many facets of chaos are far from being completely understood or determined yet. It is important to note that nowadays chaos is extremely difficult to identify in real world information to be workable. It is possible to find it in mathematical computer problems to be solved and laboratory research. As soon as the idea of nonlinearity¹ is introduced into theoretical models, chaos gets more obvious. A very complex structure is observed in field data. Simple patterns can be found and approximated; complex patterns are another matter. *In any event, we can't just grab a nice little set of data, apply a simple test or two, and declare "chaos" or "no chaos."* (Williams, 1997). Chaos occurs in deterministic, nonlinear, dynamical systems.

The Chaos Theory involves multiple interactions and supposes the existence of an enormous number of interrelations, with direct developments in immense fields of study. It got an important role in the context of the theories of the non-equilibrium recent theoretical developments. The word chaos assumes the idea of the existence of turbulence and disorder; an unwanted chance or even the idea of an "abyss". The predisposition to a profound change in the direction of a phenomenon generates an own force, understood as

¹ Nonlinear means that output isn't directly proportional to input, or that a change in one variable doesn't produce a proportional change or reaction in the related variable(s).

a depth change that results from small changes in their initial conditions. The chaos is, from this point of view, something extremely sensitive to the initial conditions. It is interesting to note, however, that the chaotic system ordinarily seems to develop itself in a very smooth and orderly way, although inside changes may be complex and paradoxical.

Recent developments in the dynamical systems theories, which require the existence of an inherent complexity of the systems themselves that are based on a set of large inside interactions, led in some cases to understand and highlight self-organizing systems, revealing strong strengths and reinforcing their internal cohesion factors.

Given the nonlinearity conditions of the nature phenomena, theories which are based on the dynamics of non-equilibrium seem to explain quite well the spatial and temporal heterogeneity observed in ecological systems. The disturbances and heterogeneity are interdependent factors that create opportunities for re-colonization and determine the structure of communities. It is important to note, for reflection about the effects of the disturbances in the systems, that the ability to recover the ecological systems depends, to some extent, on the existence of refuge areas to both for flora and fauna, acting as reservoirs of re-colonizers, after the disturbances occurred in the ecosystem.

Many living resources, particularly many marine resources have suffered drastic reductions motivated by their overexploitation. Populations of many species have been led to the rupture and close to the extinction. How can Humanity modify this state of things? Is there a line of evolution that helps to explain this kind of events? How can these facts be shaped in this perspective? Is there ways to invert these trends? Or simply to find the principles that underline the facts? The main agents in this process may be questioned? The supranational institutions just emit simple indicative rules?

CHAOS IN DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS' THEORIES

A dynamical system represents moving, changing or evolving in time. For this motive, chaos deals with dynamical systems theory (the study of phenomena that vary with time) or nonlinear dynamics (the study of nonlinear movement or evolution).

Dynamical systems fall into one of two categories, depending on whether the system loses energy. A conservative dynamical system has no friction and it doesn't lose energy over time. In contrast, a dissipative dynamical system has friction; it loses energy over time and therefore always approaches some asymptotic or limiting condition. That asymptotic or limiting state, under certain conditions, is where chaos occurs (see Williams, 1997).

The dynamical systems theories have been applied to many areas of knowledge. In the 80's, several exact sciences (physics, chemistry or biology, for example) and some social sciences (economics or management or even the sociology) still had their own objects of study and their own methods of analysis and each one of them was different from the others. The Science has been branched and specialized, so that each one uses to have its own world. Recently new forms of analysis, looking for an integrated study have emerged (Filipe, 2006).

The theory of chaos and complexity theory itself reflect the phenomena that in many activities (such as fisheries) are translated into dynamic forms of analysis and reflect a very complex and widespread reality, specific of complex systems. That reality falls within a range of situations integrated in a broader context, which is expressed in the theory itself but also in terms of their own realities (fisheries, for example), dynamic, complex and often chaotic features in their essence.

The theory of chaos stresses that the world does not necessarily work as a linear relationship with perfectly defined or with direct relations in terms of expected proportions between causes and effects. The possibility of chaos occurrence increases a lot when a system is highly sensitive to the initial conditions. These initial conditions are the measured values at a given initial time. The presence of chaotic systems in nature seems to impose a limit on the ability to apply physical deterministic laws to predict movements with any degree of certainty. Indeed, one of the most interesting subjects in the study of chaotic systems is the question of whether the presence of chaos may or may not produce ordered structures and patterns on a wider scale. In the past, the dynamic systems showed up completely unpredictable and the only ones that could aspire to be understood were those

that were represented by linear relationships, which are not the rule. On the contrary, there are some situations clearly isolated.

Today, with the help of computers, it is possible to make extremely complex calculations and to understand better the occurrence of chaos.

As Williams (1997) says, phenomena happen over time as at discrete (separate or distinct) intervals² or as continuously³. Discrete intervals can be spaced evenly in time or irregularly in time. Continuous phenomena might be measured continuously. However, it is possible to measure them at discrete intervals⁴. Special types of equations apply to each of those two ways in which phenomena happen over time. Equations for discrete time changes are difference equations and are solved through iterative methods. In contrast, equations based on a continuous change (continuous measurements) are differential equations. The term "flow" often is associated to differential equations⁵.

Differential equations are often the most accurate mathematical way to describe a smooth continuous evolution. However, some of these equations are difficult or impossible to solve. In contrast, difference equations usually can be solved right away. Furthermore, they are often acceptable approximations for differential equations. In Olsen and Degn (1985) it is affirmed that difference equations are the most powerful vehicle to the understanding of chaos.

Many scientists see, with particular interest, the theory of chaos as a way to explain the environment. Therefore, the theory of chaos stresses the fundamental laws of nature and natural processes and requires a course for a constant evolution and recreation of nature. The theory of chaos allows realizing the endless alternative ways leading to a new form or new ways that will be disclosed and that eventually emerge from the chaos as a new structure. The reality is a process in which structure and chaos rotate between form and deformation in an eternal cycle of death and renewal. Conditions of instability seem to be the rule and, in fact, a small inaccuracy in the conditions of departure tends to grow to a huge scale. Basically, two insignificant changes in the initial conditions for the same system tend to end in two situations completely different. This situation is known as the "butterfly wing effect". A small movement of the wings of a butterfly can have huge

² Examples are the occurrence of earthquakes, rainstorms or volcanic eruptions.

³ Examples are air temperature and humidity or the flow of water in perennial rivers.

⁴ For example, it is possible to measure air temperature only once per hour, over many days or years.

⁵ To some authors (see Bergé *et al.*, 1984), a flow is a system of differential equations. To others (see Rasband, 1990), a flow is the solution of differential equations.

consequences. It is the microscopic turbulence having effects in a macroscopic scale - an effect called by Grabinski (2004) as hydrodynamics. The “butterfly effect” could also be named as the “drop of honey effect”⁶ (Ferreira and Filipe, 2012; Ferreira *et al*, 2014), which is very suggestive for socio-political events, from the tale written by the Armenian poet Hovanés Tumanian (1869-1923). Mathematically, the “butterfly wing effect” corresponds to the effect of chaos, which can be expressed as follows.

Given the initial conditions

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n,$$

it is possible to calculate the final condition given by

$$final\ result = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$$

⁶ On a warm afternoon, on the second floor of a splendid palace that overlooked the market place of the city, sat a king and his minister. While the king was eating some puffed rice on honey, he looked over his land with satisfaction. What a prosperous city he ruled. What a magnificent city.

As he was daydreaming, a little drop of honey dripped from his puffed rice onto the window ledge.

The minister was about to call a servant to wipe up the honey, when the king waved a hand to stop him. “Don’t bother, it’s only a little drop of honey, it’s not our problem.”

The minister watched the drop of honey slowly trickle down the window ledge and land on the street below. Soon, a buzzing fly landed on the sweet drop of honey.

A nearby lizard shot out its long tongue and caught the fly.

The lizard was taken by surprise when a cat leapt on it.

The cat was pounced on by its worst enemy the dog that had broken free from its chain.

Meowing and barking erupted from the street below the King and his minister. The minister was about to call a servant to go and deal with the brawling cat and dog when the king said, “Relax, the cat and dog belong to the market people. We shouldn’t interfere. It’s not our problem.”

The cat’s owner was horrified to see her cat being attacked by the big bully of a dog and started whacking the dog with her broom. The dog’s owner was horrified to see her dog being attacked by the big bully of a cat and started whacking the cat with her broom.

Soon, people started coming out from their stalls and houses to see what all the screaming and shouting was about. Seeing their friend’s cat being attacked, they joined in berating the dog and its owner. Others, seeing their friend’s dog being attacked by the cat, also joined in. Very quickly, the shouting became violent and a fight broke out in the street.

The worried minister turned to the King but his only comment was, “Not our problem. Here, have some more puffed rice and honey.” The king and his adviser ate as they watched the fray below.

Soon the police were called in to break up the fight, but the people were so angry, each side convinced that they were right, (right about what, they couldn’t remember). They started attacking the policemen. The fight rapidly broke out into a full-scale riot.

The king eyed the minister and said, “I know what you are thinking, but the army will handle it. Besides, this is not our problem.”

The riot swiftly escalated into a civil war with looting and destruction all over the city. Buildings were set alight and by nightfall, the magnificent city was reduced to a pile of smoking ashes. The king and his minister stood spellbound rooted to the spot where they had been watching all day. Their mouths were hanging open in horror.

“Oh...” said the king quietly, “maybe the little drop of honey WAS our problem.” (Freely adapted from the Hovanés Tumanian tale).

If the initial conditions x_i have a margin of error (variation), the final result will be influenced by the existence of this margin. If these margins in x_i are as small as the margin of error in the final result, there is a non-chaotic situation. Otherwise if the margins of error in x_i are small but the final result has a big variation, there is a chaotic situation. Therefore, small variations in initial conditions can lead to a major effect in the final outcome. Sometimes small changes in x_i have exponential effects on the final result due to the time flow.

This effect can be detected mathematically⁷ using the maximal Lyapunov exponent⁸ (see Grabinski, 2008). Given the initial value x_0 and ε being its (arbitrarily small) variation, this leads to an initial value between x_0 and $x_0 + \varepsilon$. The general form of Lyapunov indicator is presented by

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$$

that after N iterations leads to a value for x_n between

$$f^N(x_0) \text{ and } f^N(x_0 + \varepsilon)$$

being the difference between these two values

$$f^N(x_0 + \varepsilon) - f^N(x_0) \approx \varepsilon e^{N\lambda(x_0)}$$

where λ is a parameter.

Dividing both sides by the variation ε and assuming the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, computing its logarithm, dividing it by N , and assuming the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, it is obtained the maximal Lyapunov exponent definition:

⁷ Several statistics may indicate chaos and can express how chaotic a system is. One of the most important statistics to measure magnitude of chaos is at present Lyapunov exponents. Other statistics could be presented as the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy or the mutual information or redundancy.

⁸ A Lyapunov exponent is a number that reflects the rate of divergence or convergence, averaged over the entire attractor, of two neighbouring phase space trajectories. Trajectory divergence or convergence has to follow an exponential law, for the exponent to be definable.

$$\lambda(x_0) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \left| \frac{df^N(x_0)}{dx_0} \right|.$$

And there is chaos when $\lambda > 0$.

Through this function the chaos exist when arbitrary small variations in initial conditions make the effects grow exponentially with a positive exponent.

Grabinski also states that the nonlinearity is the main characteristic of a chaotic situation. Mathematically, the nonlinear functions to be considered chaotic should be based on variables with some resistance. The author also argues that is not enough to describe the chaotic situations, such as turbulence, but it is necessary to find ways to better cope with the nonlinearity. A smooth flow of a river (non-chaotic) that can be described in quantities like the flow velocity can reach a chaotic behavior with variations of many situations. The best example is a waterfall where the speed of the flow reaches a certain point. In a smoothly flowing river it is easy to calculate or predict the flow velocity of the river at any point. However, to calculate it in a river with a waterfall, it is necessary to introduce chaos. In an attempt to make this calculation, Scientists focused on the construction of super computers that have shown to be useless due the huge quantity of factors that may cause turbulence in the flow of the river. Thus, the analysis of frequency on the change of flow's velocity is much more promising than the analysis of velocities themselves.

Moreover, Grabinski, shows the situation in which there is chaos on a microscopic scale but not on a macroscopic scale - the hydrodynamics. An example is a glass of water resting on a table, a not chaotic event. A slight disturbance on the table causes a small flow on a macroscopic level in the water. However, a microscopic observation reveals a great agitation of millions of molecules, a chaotic event. This is a situation where there is chaos on the microscopic scale but a smooth flow on the macroscopic scale. Mathematically Grabinski presents hydrodynamics equations which combine the Chaos Theory with business situations. For that, he presents the value of a company (v) as a function of two variables, the revenue (r) and the number of employees (n). Its general form is:

$$v(r, n) = v_0 + a_{10}r + a_{01}n + a_{11}rn + a_{20}r^2 + a_{02}n^2 + \dots$$

being a_{ii} general parameters. For $n = 0$ (no employees) or $r = 0$ (no revenue) the company doesn't exist because the function value is equal to 0. So evidently some terms of the function must be removed:

$$v_0 = a_{10} = a_{01} = a_{20} = a_{02} = \dots = 0.$$

The general form comes as:

$$v(r, n) = a_{11}rn + a_{21}r^2n + a_{12}rn^2 + a_{22}r^2n^2 + \dots$$

Now to the case of symmetry, r and n could be negative. A negative employee means that the employee is paying to work and negative revenue means that the company is paying the customer to consume. So the previous formula can lead to negative results if r and n change signs simultaneously. Only these terms are allowed for which the sums of the powers of r and v are even numbers. Thus the general expression of the equation is:

$$v(r, n) = a_{11}r + a_{22}r^2n^2 + \dots$$

CHAOS, DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS' THEORY AND ECOLOGY

Chaos (deterministic chaos) deals with long-term evolution—how something changes over a long time. A chaotic time series looks irregular. Two of chaos's important practical implications are that long-term predictions under chaotic conditions are worthless and complex behaviour can have simple causes. Chaos is difficult to identify in real world data because the available tools generally were developed for idealistic conditions that are difficult to fulfil in practice (see Williams, 1997).

The ecology where many things are random and uncertain, in which everything interacts with everything at the same time is, itself, a fertile area for a cross search to the world explanations (Filipe *et al*, 2005).

In Lansing (2003) is stated that the initial phase of the research of nonlinear systems was based on the deterministic chaos, and it was later redirected to new outbreaks

of research focusing on the systems properties, which are self-organizing. That is called anti-chaos. It is also said that the study of complex adaptive systems, discussed in the context of non-linear dynamic systems, has become a major focus of interest resulting from the interdisciplinary research in the social sciences and the natural sciences.

The theory of systems in general represents the natural world as a series of reservoirs and streams governed by various feedback processes. However, the mathematical representations were ignoring the role of these adjustment processes. This is achieved in the theory of complex adaptive systems part of the theory of systems, although it has in specific account the diversity and heterogeneity of systems rather than representing them only by reservoirs. It explicitly considers the role of adaptation on the control of the dynamics and of the responses of these heterogeneous reservoirs. This theory is a tool that allows ecologists to analyze the reasons inherent to the process at the lower levels of the organization that lead to patterns at higher levels of organization and ecosystems. The adaptive systems represent one of the means to understand how the organization is produced to a large scale and how it is controlled by processes that operate at lower levels of organization. According to Lansing (2003), came to be a general idea involving physical and mathematical complexity that is hidden behind systems, even the most simple.

Considering a system composed by many interactive parts, if it is sufficiently complex, it may not be practical or even not be possible to know the details of each interaction place. Moreover, the interactions can generate local non-linear effects that often it becomes impossible to find a solution even for simple systems. However, diverting us from causal forces that move the individual elements, if we focus on the system behavior as a whole we can highlight certain global behavior standards. However, these behavior standards may hide an associated cost: it cannot be expected to understand the causes at the level of individual behavior.

Indeed, the systems do not match the simple decomposition of the whole into parts and therefore do not correspond to the mere sum of the parts, as living systems are not the juxtaposition of molecules and atoms. Since the molecule to the biosphere, the whole is organized and each level of integration leads to properties that cannot be analyzed

only from mechanisms that have explanatory value in the lower levels of integration. This corresponds to the appearance of new features to the level of the set that does not exist at the level of the constituent elements. Lansing (2003) believes the adoption in the social sciences of the idea that complex global patterns can emerge with new properties from local interactions had a huge impact here.

The ecological systems are comparable to systems self-organized as they are open systems which arise far from thermodynamic equilibrium. On self-organized and self-regulated systems, the reciprocal interactions within the system between the structures and the processes contribute to the regulation of its dynamics and the maintenance of its organization; partly due to the phenomena of feedback (see L  v  que, 2002). These systems seem to develop themselves in accordance with the properties referred to the anti-chaotic systems. Indeed, we have auto-regulated systems that channel different initial conditions for the same stage, instead of what is happening with chaotic systems, which are very sensitive to initial conditions (see Kauffman, 1993). They would be relatively robust for a particular type of disturbance, to which the components of the system fit, creating a meta-stability that depends not only on the internal interactions within the system but also on external forces that can regulate and strengthen the internal factors of cohesion (see L  v  que, 2002).

Scoones (1999) argues that should be concluded a new commitment in research on the ecological new thinking and he develops its search precisely in the area of ecology around the concepts of chaotic dynamics and systems of non-equilibrium. In turn, Levin (2003) shows that in the study of complex adaptive systems anti-chaos involves the understanding of how the cooperation, alliances and networks of interactions emerge from individual behaviors and how it generates a feed-back effect to influence these behaviors within the spontaneous order and self-organization of ecosystems.

DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS, CHAOS THEORY AND FISHERIES

In order to frame some methodological developments, it must be mentioned, first of all, that some characteristics associated with some species support strategic survival features that are exploited by the present theory. Its aim is to find the reasons and the way

in which these strategies are developed and the resulting consequences. The species use their biological characteristics resulting from evolutionary ancient processes to establish defense strategies.

However, given the emergence of new forms of predation, species got weaker because they are not prepared with mechanisms for effective protection for such situations. In fisheries there is a predator, man, with new fishing technologies who can completely destabilize the ecosystem. By using certain fisheries technologies, such as networks of siege, allowing the capture of all individuals of the population who are in a particular area of fishing, the fishers cause the breakdown of certain species, particularly the pelagic ones, normally designated by schooling species.

To that extent, with small changes in ecosystems, this may cause the complete deterioration of stocks and the final collapse of ecosystems, which in extreme cases can lead to extinction. These species are concentrated in high density areas in small space. These are species that tend to live in large schools.

Usually, large schools allow the protection against large predators. The mathematical theory, which examines the relationship between schools and predators, due to Brock and Riffenburgh (see Clark, 1974), indicates that the effectiveness of predators is a reverse function of the size of the school. Since the amount of fish that a predator can consume has a maximum average value, overcoming this limit, the growth of school means a reduction in the rate of consumption by the predator. Other aspects defensive for the school such as intimidation or confusing predators are also an evidence of greater effectiveness of schools.

However this type of behavior has allowed the development of very effective fishing techniques. With modern equipment for detecting schools (sonar, satellites, etc.) and with modern artificial fibers' networks (strong, easy to handle and quick placement), fishing can keep up advantageous for small stocks (Bjorndal, 1987; Mangel and Clark, 1983).

As soon as schools become scarce, stocks become less protected. Moreover, the existence of these modern techniques prevents an effect of stock in the costs of

businesses, as opposed to the so-called search fisheries, for which a fishery involves an action of demand and slow detection. Therefore, the existence of larger populations is essential for fishermen because it reduces the cost of their detection (Neher, 1990). However, the easy detection by new technologies means that the costs are not more sensitive to the size of the stock (Bjorndal and Conrad, 1987).

This can be extremely dangerous due to poor biotic potential of the species subject to this kind of pressure. The reproductive capacity requires a minimum value below which the extinction is inevitable. Since the efficiency of the school is proportional to its size, the losses due to the effects of predation are relatively high for low levels of stocks. This implies non-feedback in the relation stock-recruitment, which causes a break in the curves of income-effort, so that an infinitesimal increase on fishing effort leads to an unstable condition that can lead to its extinction.

Considering however the fishing as a broader issue, it is possible to consider the modeling of fish stocks on the basis of an approach associated with the theory of chaos instead considering the usual prospect based on classical models. Indeed, the issue can be placed within this framework from two different prisms: the traditional vision and the vision resulting from theories of non-equilibrium. Around the traditional Newtonian view, the facts can be modeled in terms of linear relationships: involving the definition of parameters, identifying relevant variables and using differential equations to describe the processes that change slowly over time. For a given system, it should then carry out measurements in a context that remains stable during various periods. Moreover, we may have models based on the theory of chaos. These models are based on non-linear relationships and are very close to several disciplines, particularly in the branch of mathematics that study the invariant processes of scale, the fractals, and in a huge range of other subjects in the area of self-spontaneous creation of order: the theory of disasters or complex systems, for example.

The first way is largely used by the majority of biologists, economists and environmentalists, scientists and technical experts that conduct studies in marine search and senior technicians from state and transnational agencies in the area of fisheries. It treats

nature as a system, which has a regular order. But today there are many responsible for fisheries management who also base their decisions on models of chaos. The classical models center on a particular system and depend on a local analysis, studying several species, age, class, sub-regions of the marine eco-niche, the various ports and their discharges, depending on the account of an even wider range of other factors. Probably, the classic expression of linearity on the dynamics of the population (the principle that nature is orderly, balanced and that has a dynamic balance) is due to Maynard Smith (1968), which argues that the populations either remain relatively constant or regularly vary around an alleged point of balance. In the specific case of commercial fisheries, biologists believe that the fishing effort is often relevant to explain the deviations of actual populations' values for the model. They say that, specially based on studies made in the last decade, fish stocks sustainability should be ensured by the control made through fisheries regulation.

Moreover, some people see nature as not casual and unpredictable. The natural processes are complex and dynamic, and the causal relations and sequential patterns may extend so much in time that may seem to be non-periodical. The data appear as selected random works, disorderly, not causal in their connections and chaotic. The vision provided by nature leads to consider the fish stocks, time, the market and the various processes of fisheries management as likely to be continuously in imbalance rather than behave in a linear fashion and in a constant search for internal balance. It is this perspective that opens the way for the adoption of the theory of chaos in fisheries. However, the models of chaos do not deny, for themselves, some of the linearity resulting from the application of usual bionomic models. What is considered is that there are no conditions to implement all significant variables in a predictive model. Moreover, in finding that a slight change in initial conditions caused by a component of the system may cause major changes and deep consequences in the system itself. So, the application of the theory of chaos to fishing is considered essential, by many researchers. The theory of chaos depends on a multitude of factors, all major (and in the prospect of this theory all very important at the outset) on the basis of the wide range of unpredictable effects that they can cause.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Chaos Theory got its own space among sciences and has become itself an outstanding science. However there is much left to be discovered. Anyway, many scientists consider that Chaos Theory is one of the most important developed sciences on the twentieth century.

Aspects of chaos are shown up everywhere around the world and Chaos Theory has changed the direction of science, studying chaotic systems and the way they work.

It is not possible to say yet if Chaos Theory may give us solutions to problems that are posed by complex systems. Nevertheless, understanding the way chaos discusses the characteristics of complexity and analyzes open and closed systems and structures is an important matter of present discussion.

REFERENCES

- 1) BERGÉ, P. Y., POMEAU, C. V. (1984), «Order within chaos». New York: John Wiley.
- 2) BJORNDAL, T. (1987), «Production economics and optimal stock size in a North Atlantic fishery», *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 89(2), 145-164.
- 3) BJORNDAL, T. and CONRAD, J. (1987), «The dynamics of an open access fishery», *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 20(1), 74-85.
- 4) CLARK, C. W. (1974), «Possible effects of schooling on the dynamics of exploited fish populations», *Journal du Conseil International pour L'Exploration de la Mer*, 36(1), 7-14.
- 5) FERREIRA, M. A. M. and FILIPE, J. A. (2012), «The “Drop of Honey Effect”. A Note on Chaos in Economics», *International Journal of Latest Trends in Finance & Economic Sciences*, 2(4), 350-353.
- 6) FERREIRA, M. A. M., FILIPE, J. A., COELHO, M. (2013), «Managing Fisheries in light of Complexity and Chaos Theories», DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-2509-9.ch013. In Banerjee, S. (Ed.), *Chaos and Complexity Theory for Management: Nonlinear Dynamics*, Hershey, USA: IGI

- Global. DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-2509-9, ISBN13: 9781466625099, ISBN10: 1466625090, EISBN13: 9781466625105, 270-282.
- 7) FERREIRA, M. A. M., FILIPE, J. A., COELHO, M. and PEDRO, I. C. (2010), «Fishing Policies and the Contribution of Chaos Theory for Fisheries Management», *International Conference on Technology and Business Management. Proceedings*. Dubai. 638-644.
 - 8) FERREIRA, M. A. M., FILIPE, J. A., COELHO, M. and PEDRO, I. C. (2014), «Chaos in World Politics: A Reflection», In Banerjee, S., Sule Ercetin, S., Tekin, A., (Eds.), *Chaos Theory in Politics*. Springer, Germany, 2014, 89-104.
 - 9) FILIPE, J. A. (2006), «*O Drama dos Recursos Comuns. Um caso de aplicação da Teoria dos Jogos aos comuns da pesca*. PhD thesis». Lisboa: ISCTE.
 - 10) FILIPE, J. A. and FERREIRA, M. A. M. (2013), «Chaos in Humanities and Social Sciences. An Approach», *12th Conference on Applied Mathematics, APLIMAT 2013, Proceedings*. Bratislava, Slovakia.
 - 11) FILIPE, J. A., COELHO, M. and FERREIRA, M. A. M. (2005), «Sistemas Dinâmicos, Caos e os Comuns da Pesca». *Revista de Economia Global e Gestão*. N.º 2/2005. Lisboa: ISCTE.
 - 12) FILIPE, J. A., FERREIRA, M. A. M. and COELHO, M. (2008), «The Relevance of Chaos Theory to Explain Problems of Overexploitation in Fisheries». *Working Paper, WP/24/2008/DE/SOCIUS*. Lisboa: ISEG.
 - 13) FILIPE, J. A., FERREIRA, M. A. M. and COELHO, M. (2011), «Utilization of Resources: an ethical issue. The anti-commons and the aquaculture case». In Costa, G. J. M. (Ed.), *Ethical Issues and Social Dilemmas in Knowledge Management: Organizational Innovation*. Hershey, USA: IGI Global. DOI: 10.4018/978-1-61520-873-9, ISBN13: 9781615208739, ISBN10: 1615208739, EISBN13: 9781615208746, 2011, 63-78
 - 14) FILIPE, J. A., FERREIRA, M. A. M., COELHO, M. and PEDRO, M. I. C. (2009), «Complexity, Theory of Chaos and Fishing». In PORATH, D.

- and BAYER, A., “International Supplement” special “update”, the scientific bi-annual magazine of FH Mainz, University of Applied Sciences. Mainz, Germany: FH Mainz, University of Applied Sciences.
- 15) GRABINSKI, M. (2004), «Is There Chaos in Management or Just Chaotic Management?». *Complex Systems, intelligence and Modern Technology Applications*. Paris.
 - 16) GRABINSKI, M. (2008), «Chaos – Limitation or Even End of Supply Chain Management». *High Spees Flow of Material, Information and Capital*. Istanbul.
 - 17) KAUFMAN, S. (1993), «*The origins of order: self-organization and selection in evolution*». New York: Oxford Univ. Press.
 - 18) LANSING, J. S. (2003), «Complex adaptive systems», *Annual Review Anthropology*. <http://www.ic.arizona.edu/lansing/GompAdSys.pdf>.
 - 19) LÉVÊQUE, G. (2002), «*Ecologia: do ecossistema à biosfera*». Lisboa: Instituto Piaget.
 - 20) LEVIN, S. (2003), «Complex adaptive systems: exploring the known, the unknown and the unknowable», *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 40 (1), 3-19.
 - 21) MANCEL, M. and CLARK, G. (1983), «Uncertainty, search and information in fisheries», *Journal du Conseil International pour L'Exploration de la Mer*, 41, 93-103
 - 22) MAYNARD SMITH, J. (1968), «*Mathematical Ideas in Biology*». Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
 - 23) NEHER, P. (1990), «*Natural Resource Economics: Conservation and Exploitation*». Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
 - 24) OLSEN, L. F. and DEGN, H. (1985), «Chaos in biological systems». *Quarterly Review of Biophysics* 18(2), 165-225.
 - 25) PLAZA I FONT, J. P. (2014), «In defence of Chaology in Political Science». *PRISMA.COM* (26), 106-116.
 - 26) RASBAND, N. S. (1990), «*Chaotic dynamics of nonlinear systems*». New York: John Wiley.

- 27) SCONES, I. (1999), «New ecology and the social sciences: what prospects for a fruitful engagement? », *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 28, 479-507.
- 28) WILLIAMS, G. P. (1997), «*Chaos Theory Tamed*». Washington, D. C.: Joseph Henry Press.